SYNTHETIC EXAMPLE

COST

COST - synthetic example

CLIENT
John Doe

ADMINISTERED
Example date

RESPONSE MODE
Self-report

TOTAL

25 / 44

DEMO SYNTHETIC



25/44

What this report lays out

- Source instrument: COST
- Item count: 12 items
- Response format: Not at all, A little bit, Somewhat, Quite a bit, Very much
- Respondent: Self-report

CLINICIAN REVIEW REQUIRED

This document demonstrates Lirena's report design using fictional data. It does not represent a scored COST result, cannot establish a diagnosis, and must not be placed in a clinical record.

COST is not a diagnosis. A result cannot replace clinician-led assessment, history, examination, or investigation where those are required.

A report designed for clinical review

Synthetic COST example. The gauges and rows use this instrument's groups and range. They are not a client record.

REVIEW

COST is completed by Self-report. Response options: Not at all, A little bit, Somewhat, Quite a bit, Very much. Reference period not sourced. The result is for clinician review.

CONTEXT

COST total from 0 to 44 over items FT1 to FT11, with FT2 to FT5 and FT8 to FT10 reverse scored. Higher totals mean better financial wellbeing. FT12 is the unscored summary item and is shown for review only.

BOUNDARY

COST is not a diagnosis. A result cannot replace clinician-led assessment, history, examination, or investigation where those are required.

REPORT PRINCIPLE

Show the evidence, the version, and the boundary. Leave diagnosis and formulation with the clinician.

COST response pattern

Synthetic responses for COST. The table uses this instrument's groups and range. It is not a client record and does not reproduce official item wording.

ITEM	GROUP	SYNTHETIC RESPONSE
1	Total	Not at all
2	Total	A little bit
3	Total	Somewhat
4	Total	Quite a bit
5	Total	Very much
6	Total	Not at all
7	Total	A little bit
8	Total	Somewhat
9	Total	Quite a bit
10	Total	Very much
11	Total	Not at all
12	Total	A little bit

Synthetic COST values only. Not a client record.

Source-led by design

Lirena separates published methodology, product implementation, and clinical approval. A citation does not by itself authorize administration or prove a software scoring rule.

INSTRUMENT

The Comprehensive Score for financial Toxicity asks about money strain linked to illness and treatment. Lower totals indicate greater financial toxicity. Published grades run from Grade 0 (26 or more) to Grade 3 (0) for clinician consideration. They do not determine benefits, billing, or treatment choice.

ADMINISTRATION

COST is completed by Self-report. Response options: Not at all, A little bit, Somewhat, Quite a bit, Very much. Reference period not sourced. The result is for clinician review.

CLINICAL BOUNDARY

COST is not a diagnosis. A result cannot replace clinician-led assessment, history, examination, or investigation where those are required.

METHODOLOGY

COST total from 0 to 44 over items FT1 to FT11, with FT2 to FT5 and FT8 to FT10 reverse scored. Higher totals mean better financial wellbeing. FT12 is the unscored summary item and is shown for review only.

SELECTED REFERENCES

1. FACIT COST measure

FACIT. Comprehensive Score for financial Toxicity (COST).

2. COST validation

de Souza JA, Yap BJ, Wroblewski K, et al. Measuring financial toxicity as a clinically relevant patient-reported outcome: the validation of the COmprehensive Score for financial Toxicity (COST). Cancer. 2017;123(3):476-484.

3. Scoring source Lirena follows

Source URL recorded on the Lirena scoring verification input.