SYNTHETIC EXAMPLE

PCS

PCS - synthetic example

CLIENT	ADMINISTERED	RESPONSE MODE
John Doe	**Example date**	**Self-report**

TOTAL

3 / 52

DEMO SYNTHETIC



3/52

What this report lays out

- Source instrument: PCS
- Item count: 13 items
- Response format: not at all, to a slight degree, to a moderate degree, to a great degree, all the time
- Respondent: Patient

CLINICIAN REVIEW REQUIRED

This document demonstrates Lirena's report design using fictional data. It does not represent a scored PCS result, cannot establish a diagnosis, and must not be placed in a clinical record.

PCS describes pain-related thoughts and feelings. It is not a psychiatric diagnosis and does not question whether the pain is real.

A report designed for clinical review

Synthetic PCS example. The gauges and rows use this instrument's groups and range. They are not a client record.

REVIEW

PCS is completed by Patient. Response options: not at all, to a slight degree, to a moderate degree, to a great degree, all the time. Reference period not sourced. The result is for clinician review.

CONTEXT

The total is the sum of the 13 item ratings (0-52). Rumination, Magnification and Helplessness are the sums of their published items. Lirena's implemented cutoff is 30.

BOUNDARY

PCS describes pain-related thoughts and feelings. It is not a psychiatric diagnosis and does not question whether the pain is real.

REPORT PRINCIPLE

Show the evidence, the version, and the boundary. Leave diagnosis and formulation with the clinician.

PCS response pattern

Synthetic responses for PCS. The table uses this instrument's groups and range. It is not a client record and does not reproduce official item wording.

ITEM	GROUP	SYNTHETIC RESPONSE
1	Total	not at all
2	Total	to a slight degree
3	Total	to a moderate degree
4	Total	to a great degree
5	Total	all the time
6	Total	not at all
7	Total	to a slight degree
8	Total	to a moderate degree
9	Total	to a great degree
10	Total	all the time
11	Total	not at all
12	Total	to a slight degree
13	Total	to a moderate degree

Synthetic PCS values only. Not a client record.

Source-led by design

Lirena separates published methodology, product implementation, and clinical approval. A citation does not by itself authorize administration or prove a software scoring rule.

INSTRUMENT

PCS asks about rumination, magnification, and helplessness related to pain. It does not diagnose a mental disorder, and it does not replace pain examination or a psychological assessment.

ADMINISTRATION

PCS is completed by Patient. Response options: not at all, to a slight degree, to a moderate degree, to a great degree, all the time. Reference period not sourced. The result is for clinician review.

CLINICAL BOUNDARY

PCS describes pain-related thoughts and feelings. It is not a psychiatric diagnosis and does not question whether the pain is real.

METHODOLOGY

The total is the sum of the 13 item ratings (0-52). Rumination, Magnification and Helplessness are the sums of their published items. Lirena's implemented cutoff is 30.

SELECTED REFERENCES

1. Original PCS paper

Sullivan MJL, Bishop SR, Pivik J. The Pain Catastrophizing Scale: development and validation. Psychol Assess. 1995;7(4):524-532.

2. Scoring source Lirena follows

Source URL recorded on the Lirena scoring verification input.